S JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) (cand rev 1-16-08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON PAGE TWO OF THE FORM.)

I.	I. (a) PLAINTIFFS					DEFENDANTS					
PATRICIA FORTLAGE						HELLER EHRMAN, LLP, a California partnership; and HELLER EHRMAN LONG-TERM DISABILITY PLAN.					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff						County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
	(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLA INTIFF CASES)					(IN U.S. PLA INT IFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE					
	Sonoma					LAND INVOLVED.					
	(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)				Attorneys (If Known)	Attorneys (Il Known)					
	Geoffrey V. White Law Office of Geoffrey V. White					(%)					
	351 California Street, Suite 1500										
San Francisco, CA 94104 (415) 362-565						08-3406 mEd					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)						CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF DEF ONLY And One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF					
	1 U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party)					Citizen of This State 1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 of Business In This State					
	2 U.S. Government Defendant Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 5 6 Business In Another State Citizen or Subject of a 3 7 Foreign Nation 6 6							
					Cit	izen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 <u> </u>	oreign Nation	6	6 	
$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$. N	CONTRACT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only	ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENAL	TV P	NKRUPTCY	OTHER STAT	ruree		
	1101	Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL IN	_	610 Agriculture		peal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapport		
	120 M 130 M	arine iller Act egotiable Instrument ecovery of Overpayment	310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Inju Med. Malpr	<i>,</i>	620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizurg	423 Wi	thdrawal USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation		
			Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	365 Personal Inju	шу —	of Property 21 USC 630 Liquor Laws	881	DTV PICUTO			
		& Enforcement of Judgment Medicare Act	Slander	368 Asbestos Per	rsonal	640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	PROP ■ 820 Co	ERTY RIGHTS pyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
	1521	Recovery of Defaulted Liability Liability		ict I	660 Occupational		840 Trademark 480 Consume				
		Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	kel Veterans) 345 Marine Product 370 Other Fraud Liability 371 Truth in Lend		PERTY	Safety/Health 690 Other		490 Cable/Sat 1 V		ice	
		Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits				LABOR	OR SOCIAL SECURITY			850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
吕		Stockholders' Suits Other Contract	355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Person Property Dai	mage	710 Fair Labor Standards	_		875 Customer Cha 12 USC 3410	llenge	
	195 (Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Da: Product Liab		Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relatio	ns863 DI	ack Lung (923) WC/DIWW (405(g))	890 Other Statutor		
ш.		FranchiseREAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONE		30 Labor/Mgmt.Reporti	ng 864 SS 865 RS	ID Title XVI I (405(g))	891 Agricultural A	oilization Act	
_		Land Condemnation	44 I Voting	PETITION 510 Motions to V	-11	740 Railway Labor Act	on		893 Environmental		
블	220	Foreclosure	442 Employment	Sentence	*	79 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act		AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedom of In	formation	
		Rent Lease & Ejectment Torts to Land	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus: 530 General	- 1	Security Act		ces (U.S. Plaintiff	900Appeal of Fee		
		Tort Product Liability All Other Real Property	444 Welfare 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penals		MMIGRATION		Defendant) S—Third Party	Determination Under Equal		
_		. ,	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities –	550 Civil Rights	ition \	62 Naturalization Applicat	ion 26	USC 7609	to Justice 950 Constitutional	ity of	
			Other	5331 IBON COM		463 Habeas Corpus – Alien Detainee			State Statutes		
			440 Other Civil Rights		ľ	465 Other Immigration Actions					
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) XXX Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 another district 6 Multidistrict 7 Judge from Magistrate Judgment Magistrate Judgment Judgme											
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):											
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Prief description of cause:											
Brief description of cause: Damages and injunctive relief for denial of disability benefits under ERISA welfare plan, 29 U.S.C. Section 1132.										ıty	
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND S CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes XX No										complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY N/A PLEASE REFER TO CIVILAR 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".											
IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AND "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) DATE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE THE T											
DA	ГEJ	uly 15, 2008		SIGNATURE OF	F ATTOR	NEY DF RECORD					
- Just v. Char											

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

1

2

3

5

4

6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

VENUE

The Defendant Heller Ehrman Long-Term Disability Plan ("Plan") is 2. administered within this District. Defendant Heller Ehrman LLP ("Heller") has its principal place of business within this District, and Unum Life Insurance Co. of America ("Unum") is doing business within this District. Venue is thus proper pursuant to Section 502(e)(2) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(2).

PLAINTIFF

3. Plaintiff Patricia Fortlage ("Fortlage") is a natural person, and a resident of Petaluma, California. At all times material, she was an employee of Heller and a participant in the Defendant Plan, within the meaning of Section 3(7) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1102(7).

DEFENDANTS

- Defendant Heller was and is a California law partnership, with its principal place 4. of business in San Francisco, California. At all material times, Heller was and is the employer maintaining Defendant Plan, and is therefore a Plan "administrator", "fiduciary" and "party in interest", within the meaning of Sections 3(14), (16) and (21) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§1002(14), (16) and (21).
- 5. Defendant Plan was and is an employee welfare benefit plan, within the meaning of Section 3(1) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §1002(1). Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges that at all material times the Plan was fully insured through premiums paid to Unum pursuant to that certain Group Policy No. 579445-001.
- Unum is, on information and belief, the party allegedly designated by the Plan 6. and the above Policy to make determinations of disability and benefit payments under the Plan. Defendant Unum is allegedly a Plan "administrator", "fiduciary" and "party-in-interest", within the meaning of Sections 3(14), (16) and (21) of ERISA, 29 U.S.C. §§1002(14), (16) and (21).

FACTS

7. Plaintiff Patricia Fortlage was employed by Heller from approximately December, 2004 until approximately March 10, 2006, when she was required to leave work owing to her disability from Myasthenia Gravis and Neurocardiogenic Syncope. Plaintiff Fortlage had a 6

7

5

9

8

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

sudden, severe onset of her condition on February 23, 2006 and was taken to the Emergency Room. She attempted to return to work for 2 weeks, but was unable to do so. She was taken off work by her physician, and has not worked since that time. Plaintiff Fortlage began receiving Social Security disability benefits in November, 2007.

In April, 2006, Plaintiff submitted her application for long-term disability benefits to the Plan and its insurance carrier, Unum. Unum investigated Fortlage's claim for over one year, paying benefits under a "reservation of rights" from June 12, 2006 through June 11, 2007. By letter of August 22, 2007, Unum terminated benefits, contending in contradictory fashion that Plaintiff's medical conditions were either pre-existing or not disabling. Plaintiff timely appealed, and on April 15, 2008 and May 2, 2008 submitted extensive objective diagnostic, medical and other evidence that she remained disabled and entitled to LTD benefits. To date, Unum has failed and refused to respond to her appeal and continues to withhold her benefits.

[Claim for Disability Benefits]

- 9. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 8 above.
- 10. Defendants, and each of them, have failed to comply with their duties under ERISA, the Policy, and applicable Plan documents to afford Plaintiff a timely, full and fair review and determination of her claim for continued long-term disability benefits. Unum has ignored Plaintiff's evidence supporting her continued disability. Unum's denial of benefits and failure to respond to Plaintiff's appeal was biased and tainted by pecuniary conflict of interest, since it would be required to pay the claim if granted. Accordingly, this Court may not defer to Unum's decision, and exercises de novo review.
- By their failure and refusal to pay Plaintiff's long-term disability benefits, 11. Defendants, and each of them, violated the terms of the Plan and Policy, and Plaintiff's rights to such benefits pursuant to ERISA Section 502(a)(1)(B), 29 U.S.C. §1132(a)(1)(B).

/////////

3

2

3 4

5 6

7 8

10

9

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

2122

23

24

25

2627

28

reference and reallege

SECOND CLAIM

[Breach of Fiduciary Duty]

- 12. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 11, above.
- 13. As the alleged Plan fiduciary responsible for determining claims for benefits, Unum was required, pursuant to Part 4 of Title I of ERISA, to discharge its duties with respect to benefit claims prudently, for the exclusive benefit of Plan participants and beneficiaries, and in accordance with the specific fiduciary obligations imposed therein and under the Plan documents.
- 14. In its decision to terminate Plaintiff's LTD benefits, and its refusal to timely respond to her appeal, Unum acted arbitrarily and capriciously, in willful disregard of the terms of the Plan and Policy provisions, and the medical evidence submitted. At all material times, Unum acted herein only in its own financial interest in denying Plaintiff's claim. Accordingly, Unum has breached its fiduciary obligations under ERISA, the Plan and the subject Policy.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants, and each of them as follows:

- 1. On the First Claim: For an Order directing Defendants to pay to Plaintiff the long-term disability benefits to which she is entitled under the terms of the Plan, together with pre-judgment interest from the date such benefits should have been paid.
- 2. On the Second Claim: For an Order removing Defendant Unum as Plan fiduciary and barring it from any further responsibility for claims determinations under the Plan.
 - 3. On all Claims:
 - a. For costs of suit herein, including reasonable attorneys' fees; and
 - b. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: July 15, 2008

LAW OFFICE OF GEOFFREY V. WHITE

Geoffrey V. White
Attorneys for Plaintiff